

Introduction

This guide is based on IREX's 10+ years of effectively linking technology and communities through libraries. We created this guide after realizing that local technology communities could be much better integrated into early reading efforts through careful planning and engagement. The Hacking Literacy guide is a valuable resource that is rooted in lessons learned implementing technology for development and community mobilization for early reading programs. If you are interested in learning more or partnering with IREX to conduct a hackathon or use technology to increase literacy, please contact us at <u>beyondaccess@irex.org</u>.



Contents

The Challenges
The Response 3
A Literacy Hackathon 6
Hackathon timeline 7
App types
Special note 12
Applicant information session13
Applicant selection process
The Hackathon 15
Presentation of app prototypes16
App evaluation 17
Providing app feedback 19
Testing the apps
App dissemination and training 23
Measurement 23
About us 24

The Challenges



Children's literacy is a priority in many countries, but schools are overwhelmed and can't meet the needs on their own. Often, school reading methodology fails to associate reading with enjoyment. Textbooks are the main tool for teaching reading, and supplementary materials are scarce. As a result, children learn to associate reading with memorization and exams, rather than pleasure.



Parental engagement in learning to read is critical.¹ The amount of time spent by children reading with their caregivers is a key indicator of reading achievement. Schools typically struggle to engage, guide and support parents sufficiently.



Reading materials are scarce, particularly in non-dominant languages. Even countries dedicating significant resources to literacy programs are unable to print enough books to make them available to everyone who needs them.

¹ United States Agency for International Development (USAID). (2011). Education opportunity through learning. Washington, DC: USAID.



Hacking Literacy leverages existing public libraries as the hub from which to attack these challenges. Equipping libraries with content and tools, as well as the right methods to reach families in their communities leads to a new resource in the community that fosters early grade reading abilities.

Where does technology fit into the picture?

Reading apps with specific early-learning functionality can be an integral part of the picture. Installed on inexpensive tablets in the shared, community space of the library, apps are particularly useful for the following reasons:

For children and their parents

Engagement. While skilled teachers and facilitators can turn any book into a set of engaging activities, such efforts require planning time and supplementary resources beyond what is usually available. Well-designed apps create games out of reading skills, enticing children to learn while they think they are playing. Installed on a tablet located at a public library, they are then available any time, not only when a teacher can make class time.

Association with enjoyment. Teaching to state exams, teachers often struggle to make reading an enjoyable activity. Reading apps can forge a connection in children's minds between fun and reading - essential if a child is going to become a strong reader.

Repetition. Tablet apps make it engaging and fun for children to repeat learning activities until they have mastered new skills. Rather than dull and ineffectual memorization, children are empowered to direct learning for themselves. Chil-

dren enjoy repeating familiar tasks, particularly when they receive visual rewards (such as stars or 'great job!'), and tablets have an unlimited tolerance for repetition, unlike adults who become fatigued far more quickly.



For education systems

Lower costs at scale. Lack of resources is usually the main reason for lack of reading materials in local languages. On the current market, a device costing less than \$200, with free apps installed on it, can provide more content than printed materials purchased for the same costs. With the quantity of electronic content increasing, the cost-benefit ratio will be increasingly in favor of electronic devices.

Scalability of new materials. New materials are constantly being developed but their printing and deployment nation-wide usually requires significant investments. With tab-

let apps downloadable from a free Android platform, this process is simpler, faster and cheaper.



Content requires devices. Which ones?

Tablets are far more cost-effective than desktop or laptop computers. In 2014-15, the average price of tablets libraries have procured has been between US\$200-300, about half the cost of a desktop or less.

> Once content is created, through shared devices in libraries, it can reach more people faster than an effort to print books. A vibrant educational app ecosystem can continuously update materials much more dynamically than relying on distribution of printed books.

IREX's experience has shown that 10-inch tablets are the ideal tool for activating the benefits of technology in a shared setting, for the following reasons:



Tablets require far less, and less-reliable, electricity than computers. In most developing countries, power access and stability are problems. Tablets can typically be plugged in overnight, when electricity loads are lighter and more reliable, and will be ready the next morning for a full day of use. In places where power is unavailable, cheap solar chargers can fill the gap.

Tablets have a far lower maintenance cost than other forms of technology. Mid-range tablets (such as the Samsung Galaxy Tab 4) are fairly rugged and, when

fitted with a case, tough to break with regular shared daily use. After a year of use in 55 libraries throughout Myanmar, small drops and shared active use among many children have not resulted in any tablet loss. Software-wise, though tablet data storage can fill up fast, they can be easily reset to factory settings should performance decline. SD cards are a cheap way to expand storage if necessary.

10-inch tablets are the right size for group usage. At the same size as a typical children's book, a librarian can hold up the screen in front of a group, and images will be visible to all. In a small group of 3-5 children, all will be able to see and touch the screen. The screen is also the ideal size for sharing between a parent and one or two children sitting next to each other.

The value of tablets is minimal, however, without the right content. In many countries that content either does not exist for early grade reading, or is of very poor quality.

For this reason, efforts to leverage technology by employing tablets in libraries must be accompanied by a concerted effort to create appropriate apps. Central to the Hacking Literacy model is the Literacy Hackathon.

A Literacy Hackathon



Activates the country's coder community for the production of useful, engaging, pedagogically valid Android apps targeted at early-grade readers in languages commercial markets cannot currently support.



Brings together coders designers, the library community, government and literacy program implementers in a coordinated way to address

barriers to engaging communities and parents in the early childhood reading experience.

Produces apps that are meant to be used in a shared setting and by children together with caregivers.





10



Hackathon Components

Partners

Technology hub. Local co-working hubs or innovation spaces serve as the connection to the local tech community. In Ethiopia, IREX partnered with iceAddis, who recruited coder teams and hosted the event.

Library community. So that apps will be designed with a shared setting in mind, input from local library staff and leaders is crucial for contextualizing the apps during the development stage.

Literacy experts. Since app developers are not literacy experts, they'll need grounding and guidance to make sure the app design and functionality are in line with literacy concepts.



Hackathon parameters

Based on local conditions, the partners will need to decide on answers to the following questions:

Which app types should be created? Decisions should be made in response to identified shortcomings in reading achievement – from an EGRA, for example. Give guidance that is as explicit as possible, keeping in mind that programmers will not have pedagogical training in reading instruction. This guide contains explanations of three common types of apps that are useful for reading activities in libraries.

What should the prize levels be in each category? Based on the level of difficulty, different prize amounts can be awarded in different app categories, but the prize amounts will affect the number of applications in each category. IREX has found it's best to keep prize amounts relatively even, so that teams are not overly incentivized to all compete in the same category.

How long should the hackathon last? Three days appears to be the right duration for a successful hackathon that maintains momentum. By the end of three days, most coder teams are able to produce a functional prototype of their app.

What are the criteria for evaluating apps? Based on reading priorities, what are the most important features which must be present in the apps, and therefore, what factors have the greatest priority in evaluation. Some suggestions are noted on page 17 of this guide.

App types

An app package in any new language must start simply, with the basic apps most likely to both strengthen specific literacy skills and be engaging enough to associate reading with enjoyment. Simple reading apps feed a child's curiosity and desire to interact. Apps that provide visual rewards for accomplishing a reading achievement motivate children to continue progress. IREX recommends the following **three** app types, each of which addresses specific early grade literacy skills, as recognized by international literacy experts and EGRA methodology.¹

App category	Functions	Examples
<section-header></section-header>	 Child: Hears letter names Hears letter sounds Repeats sounds Recognizes letters and names them aloud Identifies letter from sound Follows letter outline and guidance to draw letter themselves Some also teach similar skills with numbers.	

¹ <u>https://www.eddataglobal.org/reading/index.cfm</u>

Increasing vocabulary: Improves the skill of reading words. These apps connect printed words to pictures and help children learn to match the two.		category
	App Increasing proves the skill These apps cor to pictures and to match the tw	vocabulary: Im- of reading words. nect printed words help children learn vo.





Examples



App category	Functions	Examples
Book apps: Improve the skills of understanding sentences and paragraphs, and listening with com- prehension. These apps use a complete story to improve reading skills and compre- hension.	 → App reads a story to the child, matched with pictures and occasionally animation. → Child reads story themselves, can tap individual words to hear them. 	<image/> <image/>



A useful guide for app user experience has been developed by Sesame Workshop and it is helpful to provide this as background to programmer participants: http://www.sesameworkshop.org/our-blog/2012/12/17/sesames-best-practices-guide-for-childrens-app-development/

Special Note

Acknowledging the criticism of hackathons

Hackathons have a pretty problematic reputation in the development field, for good reasons. In the past, they have been used as ways to incorporate 'technology' into a program, without broad consideration of all the necessary steps and implications. They've been one-off events that result in apps or products without further support or any usage case beyond the donor. Results are discouraging, as products are soon forgotten.

IREX has been deeply involved in the progression of technology in the development field for the last two decades and has closely followed this debate. We settled on the approach described in this guide because it meets the following criteria:



Having decided apps are needed to address a specific problem, we have recognized that single-app development led by an NGO is a long, costly and resultantly unrealistic approach. It is far more efficient to involve the energy and talent of local experts in a competition format.



Reading apps in the formats described herein are not complicated and do not require advanced programming skills. Functionality is already prescribed and models are readily available. Replicating and adapting these into new languages, therefore, is a perfect opportunity for young programmers just embarking on professional careers.



The intellectual property issue is settled beforehand, as contest winners are awarded commercial contracts to produce final versions of their apps. Once released on the Google Play store, the apps are free for anyone to use.



The process does not finish with the announcement of a successful weekend event. Apps are tied into training for librarians and then disseminated through a ready network of institutions to be used by a specific audience as part of a national literacy program.

Applicant information session

With the announcement circulating, it is critical to inform programmers about expectations. Key messages include:



Applicant selection process

Programmer teams are requested to submit a concept note as part of their registration applications. This document is meant to encourage them to put some initial thought into app functionality and consider the look and feel. The note below illustrates these ideas. For those selected, it allows a running start – they come prepared with a specific set of tasks to accomplish in order to create a working prototype, and organizers are able to provide early feedback to ensure selected teams are headed in the right direction.



A Gamified Amharic Letter Learning App for Kids

is a cross platform smart phone app that teaches letters of Amharic in a funny way to preschoolers. It provides hands-on interactive games, match letter and word drawings.

The app is intended to be usable by kids of all learning styles:

For Visual Learners: Who learn by reading or seeing pictures

The app helps the kids to associate a letter with a familiar image. A great care will be taken to select the associated image to facilitate learning. For example, a picture of **glass** (^{ap}h ?) will be used to associate with letter ap than a picture of **car** (^{ap}h ?) because glass has similar shape with letter ap .

For Auditory Learners: Who learn by hearing and listening

The app should be able to read and teach the kid audibly. Furthermore, the app will have a feature that asks the kid to say a letter; it, then mimics and says the kid's voice back using a chipmunk sound; and this will be fun for the kid.

For Tactile Learners: Who learn by touching and doing

The app need to have an interactive gesture detector that allows to trace letters (following a guiding direction.) It will give feedback on success/failure. Many of meaningful touches will have a charming feedback.

In addition, the app incorporates "Catch the Fish" game which is a fun way for kids to practice recognizing the letters. This part contains slowly swimming fishes with a letter label. The challenge is to catch fishes based on the sound of letter heard or based on associated image shown (eg, glass image).

The app doesn't need to contain every Amharic letters. It, off course, will omit some letters lest they may introduce ambiguity in the brain of a toddler.

The application will be developed using Java Programming Language incorporating LibGdx game library. The app can be exported to iOS devices with small extra work.





The Hackathon

This is a sample agenda for the event:

	Day	17		Day	2	Day 3					
Time	Торіс	Activity	Activity Time Topic Activity			Time	Activity				
9:00-10:30	Welcome, Introduc- tion and Briefing	 Registration of participants Icebreaker activity Presentation by the organizers, cov- ering goals of the literacy program Review of hack- athon format and agenda Presentation of app categories, ex- pected functionalities and user experience Definition of out- comes and review of evaluation criteria Q&A with partic- 	9:00-10:30	Welcome, Introduc- tion and Briefing	 Registration of participants Icebreaker activity Presentation by the organizers, cov- ering goals of the literacy program Review of hack- athon format and agenda Presentation of app categories, ex- pected functionalities and user experience Definition of out- comes and review of evaluation criteria Q&A with partic- 	9:00-10:30	Welcome, Introduc- tion and Briefing	 Registration of participants Icebreaker activity Presentation by the organizers, cov- ering goals of the literacy program Review of hack- athon format and agenda Presentation of app categories, ex- pected functionalities and user experience Definition of out- comes and review of evaluation criteria Q&A with partic- 			
	Group	ipants Organizers circulate to review app ideas		Group	ipants Organizers circulate to review app ideas		Group	ipants Organizers circulate to review app ideas			
10:30-12:30	work ses- sion	and answer individual questions	10:30-12:30	work ses- sion	and answer individual questions	10:30-12:30	work ses- sion	and answer individual questions			
12:30-13:30	Lunch		12:30-13:30	Lunch		12:30-13:30	Lunch				
13:30-16:30	Group wor	k session	13:30-16:30	Group wor	k session	13:30-16:30	Group wor	k session			
16:30-17:00	Feedback session	Group question and answer session	16:30-17:00	Feedback session	Group question and answer session	16:30-17:00	Feedback session	Group question and answer session			
17:00-19:30	Group work - optional	Some teams may want to stay into evening to continue work	17:00-19:30	Group work - optional	Some teams may want to stay into evening to continue work	17:00-19:30	Group work - optional	Some teams may want to stay into evening to continue work			

Presentation of app prototypes

By the last day of the hackathon, each app team should be prepared to present the full range of functions of their app. App teams are not expected to present a polished and finalized app; rather, the app should perform a basic breadth of functions to give the selection committee a glimpse of the apps' features, design, usability, and feasibility.





ry when scoring apps presented by candidate teams. App functions should receive more weight when scoring compared to the other evaluation criteria. App functions are listed in the 'functions' column of the App Types table above. The app must be age appropriate and intuitive. Icons should be easily recognizable and highly visible. As these apps are designed for early grade learners, emphasis should be placed on intuitive, guiding images over written instructions. Navigation should emphasize swiping functions and users should be able to easily return to previous pages without being routed to the landing or home screen. The app should be built to perform in resource-constrained environments. The app should execute all functions offline and require minimal system updates and bug fixes. The app should take up as little hard drive space as possible.



The app should have an attractive, age-appropriate user interface including animations and a wide range of colors. This category evaluates the consistency and the quality of the following:



Tabulation/Selection of Winning Teams

	0	Thi	s is a	a sai	mp	le <u>s</u>	ore	ads	hee	t tha	at c	an l	be ι	usec	d to	tra	ck e	eval	uati	on	
		SCO	res.																		
			Markos		_			Florian					Matej		_			Joel		_	Composite
	Function	Design	Usability	Feasibility	Total	Function	Design	Usability	Feasibilit	y Total	Function	Design	Usability	Feasibility	Total	Function	Design	Usability	Feasibility	Total	
	1.	5	1.5	1	1	1	.5	1.5	1	1	1.	5 1	.5	1	L	1.	5 1	1.5	1	1	
App 1																					_
Hohey		6	4.5	2	3 15	5	6	6	3	3 1	8	64	5	2	3 15.5		6 4	1.5	2	3 15.	5 64
Yeneta		6	6	3	3 1	8	6	7.5	4	4 21.	5 4.	5	6	3	3 16.5		6	6	3	3 1	8 7
Hahu	4.	5	3	2	4 13	5	3	3	3	3 1	2	3	3	3	3 12	4.	5 4	1.5	2	3 1	4 51
App 2																					0
Let's Code		3	4.5	2	3 12	5					0 4.	5 4	.5	4 4	1 17	4.	5	3	2	3 12.	5 4
Zur Hackers		3	3	2	2 1	0					0 4.	5	3	2	2 11.5		3	3	3	2 1	1 32
Hawe	4.	5	6	3	3 16	5					0	6	6	4	3 15		6	6	3	4 1	9 54
App 3																					0
iSoft		3	1.5	2	2 8	5					0 4.	5	3	3	3 13.5	4.	5 4	1.5	3	3 1	5 3
FocusLabs	1.	5	4.5	3	2 1	1					0 1.	5	3	3	9.5		3 7	7.5	2	3 15.	5 3
Birana		6	3	3	3 1	5					0	6	6	4 4	1 20		6 4	1.5	3	4 17.	5 52.



Selection Committee

The literacy app hackathon selection committee should consist of technical experts in IT (mobile application design, development, usability) and in early grade reading and literacy. While each member of the selection committee will evaluate the apps under the same criteria, literacy experts will focus their evaluation on the app's functions, determining the extent to which the functions contribute current accepted principles in early grade reading. IT experts will lend insights into the usability, feasibility, and design aspects of the apps.

- Sample scoring sheet for literacy experts
- Sample scoring sheet for app development experts

Judges convene either on the final day of the hackathon, or the following day in order to test apps and record their evaluations. Scores are tabulated and winning teams chosen. Often, those who aren't selected as winners in any of the categories are still in the process of producing worthwhile apps. Should resources allow, organizers can offer smaller prizes to other teams whose work may turn out worthwhile.

Providing app feedback

Typically, the prototype will demonstrate functionality only for a limited number of letters, words or pages. The expectation for all teams is that the final app will include full content. However, based on the prototype, detailed commentary should be provided to each team, setting expectations for how they should finalize the app before the prize will be awarded.

Categories to address include:



Experience has demonstrated the following common mistakes in initial app prototypes:



Design is too complicated for such a young audience. Too many arrows between pages as opposed to sliding, which is more intuitive.

Too much graphical, "fancy" noise. Sometimes leaner, easier design is more appropriate.

Needs explicit guidance on what user should be doing. Considering the audience, games need a clear demonstration of what players are expected to do. This can best be done with an engaging character that leads the user through the app – someone with whom children can bond.

Here is a sample of feedback provided to one programmer team. This document should be provided to the programmer team along with the contract award. It becomes part of the scope of work for delivering the app and thereby being awarded the prize money.



Organizers may also want to request separate English translations of all app content to provide explanations of the app to an international audience, and to inform training design.

Testing the apps

Before apps are released, they should be tested in a focus group setting at a small number of local libraries. Librarians recruit 10-15 participants to sit with the apps for 30-60 minutes and provide feedback. A suggested breakdown of participants includes:





At the event, provide a very brief introduction to each app. Avoid explaining the apps in detail, as part of the observation should be the degree to which apps are intuitive for the children and parents.

Divide the participants into groups. Some children can be placed in groups of 2-4 on their own. Some parents should be placed with 1-2 children and a tablet. Give the groups about 15-20 minutes with each app. Ensure that all children in the groups get a chance to play.



Summaries of the feedback should be provided to the programmer teams to inform final revisions.

App dissemination and training

When finalized, apps should be uploaded by the programmer teams to the Google Play store as a free app.

Training for librarians should be conducted as part of the Beyond Access "Libraries, Literacy and Technology" module. Activity cards should be designed for each app that provide explicit instructions on using the app in a shared library setting, accompanied by other suitable literacy activities.

Measurement

IREX recommends the following indicators for performance and impact measurement



These figures may be reviewed monthly and quarterly to gauge usage and tailor training for librarians.



Since there is no convenient way to isolate the improvement of a child in reading assessments due to the presence of technology in a library, IREX recommends assessing before and after among a select group of children:



change in time spent reading outside of school

as the key indicator suggesting whether library activities are contributing to factors which are known to influence reading advancement

About us



IREX: IREX is a non-profit international development and education organization based in Washington, DC and working in more than 120 countries. IREX programs develop leaders, promote quality education and access to information, and strengthen communities and institutions that advance positive change.



Beyond Access: Through Beyond Access, IREX works with local partners to promote sustainable, inclusive access to information and technology for those who need it most. That access is delivered through trusted community institutions that already have funding and trained staff in place: public libraries. More than 230,000 public libraries — 73% of the world's total — are located in developing and transitioning countries.



Version 1, January 2016 For more information, please visit <u>beyondaccess.net</u>, or contact <u>beyondaccess@irex.org</u>.